

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,902	07/16/2003	Young-Soo Kwon	0001417USU	8950	
7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER			
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			LANGEL, WAYNE A		
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.I	P.			
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square			1754		
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			f.v. m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/620,902	KWON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wayne Langel	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	ts have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· ·	e
application from the International Burea	•	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🗵 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-19-04</u> .	6)		

#

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Satchell, Jr. et al '156 or Coronell et al or Woytek et al. Ellis discloses a process for conducting a chemical reaction characterized by an equilibrium in a reaction system designed as a loop reactor, and teach at col. 2, lines 20-47 that the solution equilibria include equilibria for dissolving gaseous reactants in liquid reaction systems. Ellis teaches at col. 4, lines 9-20 that at the inlet of the loop 2, 22 into the reactor vessel 1, there may be located a means for feeding the circulating the reaction material into the reactor vessel, which may include jet nozzles or suction gas mixers which provide a certain turbulence when feeding the reaction material into the reactor vessel 1 and thereby substantially improving the contact between the gas and liquid in a gas liquid reaction. The difference between the process disclosed by Ellis, and that recited in applicant's claims, is that Ellis does not disclose that the gas liquid reaction should constitute the reaction between a fused ammonium fluoride salt with fluorine gas to produce nitrogen trifluoride. Satchell, Jr. et al '156, Coronell and Woytek et al all disclose the reaction between fused ammonium fluoride salt with fluorine gas to produce nitrogen trifluoride, and teach that the reactants should be intimately mixed. (See the Astracts of Satchell, Jr. et al '156 and Coronell et al, and col. 2, line48 to col.4, line 43 of Woytek et al) It would be obvious from Satchell, Jr. et al '156 or Coronell et al

Application/Control Number: 10/620,902 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

or Woytek et al to employ the process of Ellis for the production of nitrogen trifluoride by contacting a fused ammonium fluoride salt with fluorine gas, since Ellis teaches that the method may be employed for gas-liquid reactions, and Satchell, Jr. et al '156, Coronell and Woytek et al all disclose that fluorine should be intimately mixed with a fused ammonium fluoride salt to increase the yield of the nitrogen trifluoride product.

The other references are made of record for disclosing various methods for preparing nitrogen trifluoride.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Wayne Langel

at telephone number 571-272-1353.

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754